Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/912,511	LEE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melvin H. Pollack	2145
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Abandonment</u>		
(1) <u>Melvin H. Pollack</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Benjamin E. Urcia (33,805)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2005</u>	Time: 3:00 PM (EST)	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner called to determine case status. Attorney stated that applicant did not provide instructions regarding response.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Mich &. Rollal		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	anature – if appropriate)